REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed 3/6/2006, Claims 1-20 were rejected as being anticipated by the prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

The Examiner further objected to the oath or declaration (see Office Action Summary), but the referred-to PTO-152 was not included in the mailing. Clarification is requested.

Patentability of Independent Claims 1 (method – fantasy gaming), 8 (system for providing fantasy sports game) and 14(method – ad hoc duel fantasy gaming system)

These Claims are all rejected as being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) by <u>Junkin</u>. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for the reasons set forth below after the discussion of the teachings of this reference.

Junkin, U.S. Patent No. 5,846,132

<u>Junkin</u> discloses an "Interactive System Allowing Simulated or Real Time Participation in a League." In fact, Applicant is aware of <u>Junkin</u> and disclosed this reference in the context of an Information Disclosure Statement filed along with the original application.

<u>Junkin</u> concerns itself, not with any novel approach to challenging, scoring or determining the outcome of a system of fantasy sports leagues, but rather "is more particularly concerned with such a system which has an option for a league based competition and/or scoring between participants of similar skill level in different categories of competition." Col. 1, lines 10-13.

The <u>Junkin</u> method is summarized at Col. 2, line 64 – Col. 3, line 8 as having the following steps:

- "(a) . . . generating real time score values indicative of performances of players involved in the event,
 - (b) . . . conveying to each participant the real time score values of the players,
- (c) . . . selecting at least one member of the event to comprise a team for each participant of the interactive system"

The system may optionally include step:

"(d) . . . grouping the participants into categories or leagues based, e.g. on accumulated score values."

As discussed in Applicant's original Specification, leagues of fantasy sports teams have been a popular pastime for quite some time. Converting individual player performances (hereinafter performance points) into fantasy sport team owners' team points (hereinafter owners' points) was traditionally executed manually by the fantasy sports league commissioner. With the evolution of the World Wide Web, it was a natural progression that the manual scoring process was replaced with computerized methods. A side benefit was that fantasy sport team owners were no longer confined to competing with other local fantasy sport team owners, for the WWW does not (at least in this context) have such boundaries.

Junkin, like the other "references" cited by the Examiner is simply an example of an architecture and operating method for such a "plain Jane" online fantasy sports system. All of these "prior" systems have five basic steps:

- 1. an owner creates a team by some method;
- 2. owners are either included in a league or are simply in a pool with other owners;
- 3. the real players perform to generate performance points;
- performance points are converted to owners' points (by the gaming method) by attributing the performance points to only those teams that selected a particular player generating the points; and
- over the course of a period (e.g. a season), owners are ranked by their cumulative owners' points.

Essentially, the only benefit touted by <u>Junkin</u> provided by its system over its predecessors was to "allow real time interaction," for example to "decide whether or not to keep Petty as he is passing other cars or is being passed." See Col. 1, lines 58 – 60.

Operational Distinctions

In order to make the patentability distinctions between <u>Junkin</u> and the present invention more clear, the undersigned will first provide additional background to the conventional fantasy sports scoring method as contrasted with the fantasy sports scoring method of the present invention.

<u>Junkin</u>, discloses the typical conventional fantasy sports scoring method, an example of which follows:

Fantasy Team Name	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Total
Team A	10 owpts	10 owpts	10 owpts	30 owpts
Team B	12 owpts	5 owpts	7 owpts	24 owpts
Team C	5 owpts	7 owpts	12 owpts	24 owpts
Team D	7 owpts	12 owpts	5 owpts	24 owpts

Conventional Fantasy Sports Scoring Method

Under the conventional method, the team with the most owners' points wins. As such, Team A fantasy owner would prevail in this grouping (whether in a league or "at large"), since Team A accumulated the most owner points through the 3-week "season."

Claimed Fantasy Sports Scoring Method

Under the methods and systems of the presently claimed invention, there is an added step conducted prior to determining what the owners' points are, namely the formation of a "duel." A "duel" is the result of a one-on-one challenge between two fantasy team owners. Defined a simply as that, there is no requirement that all teams duel all other teams. An example outcome of such a system using the previously-detailed results could be:

Week 1 Duels (result)	Week 2 Duels (result)	Week 3 Duels (result)
A & B (B 1 owpt)	A & D (D 1 owpt)	A & C (C 1 owpt)
C & D (D 1 owpt)	C & D (D 1 owpt)	B & C (C 1 owpt)

06/05/2006 18:53 6196922003 STEINS & ASSOCIATES PAGE 12

D & B (B 1 owpt)

D & B (B 1 owpt)

The final 3-week season results would then be:

A 0 owpts

B 3 owpts

C 2 owpts

D 2 owpts

The difference is apparent, even in this simple example. Here, Team A would have won and Teams B-D would have tied for second under the conventional system. Under the system claimed by the Applicant, however Team A would end up in last place, with Team B winning the season. If the various team owners had engaged in duels with different team owners, a different result would no doubt have occurred.

Applicant's Claimed Invention

Applicant's claim 1, for example recites:

"In a network of computing devices comprising two or more participant client computers in communication with a host computer system over a computer network, a fantasy gaming method executed by said host computer system for interaction therewith at said participant client computers, the method comprising the steps of:

a first participant creating a first team via one said participant client computer;

a second participant creating a second team via one said participant client computer;

a challenge to engage in a fantasy game issued by said first team to said second team; and

an acceptance of said challenge by said second team."

Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's citations from <u>Junkin</u> in the context of his Office Action, and respectfully asserts that neither the "challenge" step, nor the "acceptance of said challenge step" is disclosed, hinted at or suggested by <u>Junkin</u>.

Repeatedly, the Examiner has cited the following location within Junkin for his conclusions:

5,846,132

Interactive system addowing Simulated or real time Participation in a league

This invention relates to an immercive country system which allows profishment to optimize the purious of their magnet of their magnet based on the periodic interaction, and their magnet based on the periodic interaction, and their magnet based on the periodic of their magnet based on the periodic interaction, and the magnetic of the magnetic of the magnetic of the magnetic of the country of the magnetic of the country of the periodic interaction and the magnetic of the country of the magnetic of the country of the periodic interaction and the magnetic of the country of the magnetic of the country of the periodic interaction and the magnetic of the country of of the country

professional ethicies to fill fee rester of filest team.

As in prefessional sparts begges, finitely owners may hade players during a conduct. Typically, after the draft, and throughout the reason, textus are made between examine of those players not adected in the initial draft. The teams in a feating, proof is larges typically accumulate: a "won-fort resume by the posts have replicably accumulate a "won-fort resume by the proof is the first plant to the formal by the collection of the other feating in the large whose payods performed better is the previous week in declared the winner. Typically, such team, competes up the cumulative statistics of the deathed players.

11.8. Pet. Non. 5.002,736 and 5.263.720 describe as

U.S. Pst. Nos. 5.018,736 and 5,262,729 describe an interactive content system using publication of overpapers, and broadcast of execute to making business overein to optimize: cases obtained by a team flavough some member the foresver, the system is not interactive on a real time ba source obtained by a terminative on a real time beam. As interaction with the course system on a real time basis with the sporting overs is obtained and a real time basis with the sporting overs is obtained and the sporting disastranted by the described obtains system hance whitese the obtained obtained and obtained and obtained publics. schoolson and trading of players based on periodic publics.

heriodic interaction of participants prevents eptimization of sean review performance and offcoment of the interaction of sean review performance and offcoment of the interaction of sean review performance and offcoment of the interaction of the above system. In the above system, participants select and said seambers only after the event her ended for the day. For example, he FANTASY MASCAR send, a participant must be demonstrated to be considered to the recent when the form of the proof of the first scale of the first send of the way and distributes some for the number of other cast Print to the participant must wait until the end of the day of the first advantage may be solitored in participate must wait until the end of the day of the first advantage may be solitored in the whole of the base of trade. Point

Once the participant has made a decision, he or she can watch the race with successment as so whether that dominion

is the right or the woning one. Further, as the race gone on, the periodpant can under more mades to opinion the per-formance of the or her seam mater. By allowing a periodpact to interest in real time while the race is going on, the participant can better exactionine the arrow values for the beam and experience stony emotional and intellectual enjoy-

creat. The devention and indice of players in an action of the interaction as for event infinite the such a system which has an option for a league based competition makes sample between perticipants of similar still level in different outspooks of competition.

BACKGROUND ART

Professional or collegs sports support a broad scope of security competitions resigned from professing the option of particular genes to professing the concert of particular genes to professing the serious player. Combats hence durn player performances include finitely sports leagues such as femsely baseful and a baging the serious of serious first plants are sport being sports as some the position of more probability functions, as some the position of a college of a remaining of the performance of a strain of a serious player. Prior to be a security of a professional sport spector, the topical of a "strain" of a performance of a strain of professional sport spector, the topical of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of a "strain" of professional sport spector, factory or the position of t

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

An adventage of the present levention is in immensing the

Another advantage of the present invention is an improved interselles system allowing perticipants to interact with a general so event occurs in m

Another advantage of the present sevention is in maxi-as unleng the total pulsas generated by a team selected by a participant.

Another advantage of the invention is in investing in Author harvess the perticipent the second and japanestys spaces. Ley ellowing the participant to select and trade, manufacts as the event and trade.

A further advantage is for increasing both the intellectual and emotional enjoyment as a participant vicating an event

Still a further advantage of the invention is in increase the level of skill and knowledge of a participant to an event

Still a flather adventage of the invention if in beauting participants with others of similar skill, knowledge and/or reportence to enhance the level of enjoyment and to becruise.

Still another adventage of the invention is in allowing perildipants to compare at a uniform, regional, state, local - antition city/county lavel.

to get the result of the race or driver's performance. If the
system distributes accrete for the number of other each Party
system distributes accrete for the number of other each Party
to whether to beep or trace Petry.

On the other band, if the gueen were to allow each time
interaction, the participate medical each whether or not to
large Party as the first participate of the interactive
stay deaths to keep Party as a massing of of the room. If the
participant believes that receive on driver, such as Surve
Androit, will pass more can driver, such as Surve
Androit, will pass more can driver, such as Surve
Can be type participant the made a stection, he or she can

An method for playing an intrinsitive game based on an

An method for playing an intrinsitive game based on an

A method fair playing an interestive game beard on an event occurring in real time commisses they (a) for general-

Specifically, here are the Examiners references to this citation (at least those of import):

Application/Control Number: 10/608;523 Art Unit: 3714

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section, made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention were petential or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or a public use of on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Junkin (U.S. Patent 5,848,132).

Regarding Claims 1, 8, 14 and 20, Junkin discloses a network of computing devices comprising two or more participant elient computers (col. 18, lins. 44 – 46; where a player operating a personal computer registers a team and is a client participating in a game) in communication with a host computer (col. 18, lin. 43) over a network (col. 18, lins. 47 – 48) with data storage storing information relating to performance of the players in actual competitive events (col. 16, lins. 31 – 33; where a central server tracks actual game statistics throughout a season and stores game data for remote access by any player), a fantasy gaming method executed by said host computer for interaction therewith at said participant ellent computers (col. 18, lins. 37 – 39; where host and client computers as described above interact to provide a game). Junkin also discloses multiple participants creating multiple respective teams via multiple respective client computers (col. 19, lins. 7 – 8; where individual participants up to a specified maximum number build up and recruit for their respective teams) where a first team issues a challenge to engage in a fantasy game issued by ead first team to

Application/Control Number: 10/608,523 Art Unit: 3714

6196922003

Page 3

sald second team and an acceptance of said challenge by said second team (col. 1.

ins. 29.—33; where a host computer matches teams for play and automatically
challenges teams during a regular season). The method solicits and accepts from each
participant an initial selection and purchase of players to form the participant's fantasy
sports team (col. 5, tins. 21 — 27; where each participant enters a draft to select players
for the above team) including a wager (col. 2, tins. 19 — 21; where players may win
prizes that may be set up through participants' Initial wagers).

Regarding Claims 4 – 7, 9 – 11 and 17 – 19, Junkin discloses a game method where a challenge creates a first duel (col. 1, lins. 29 – 33; where teams are matched up to play against each other each week of the fentasy season) where a victor is determined by performance results of actual players in an actual aporting event (col. 16, lins. 31 – 33; where a players team whose team members collectively score the most

Application/Control Number: 10/808,623
Art Unit: 3714

Page 4

WIND WIND

points in a game is awarded with a win for that game). Also, a third participant creating a third team via one said participant client computer where said third team may engage in a second duel with either first or second team, and where a plurality of said teams engage in a plurality of said dusts (col. 1, line. 29 – 33; where multiple teams may participate and all teams play more than one other team, simulating actual play of teams in a regular football season) to determine win-less records for each said team responsive to victors and non-victors of said dusts (col. 1, line. 29 – 33; where a team accrues wins and lesses based on a comparison between scores of dusting teams during a particular game). Performance classification groups of teams are defined responsive to the strength of duel schedule of said respective teams (col. 2, line. 10 – 12, where teams are ranked based on that strength in winning against other teams during the regular season) and a playoff schedule is created between teams having the highest performance classification (col. 11, line. 27 – 32; where teams qualify or do not qualify for an All Star reorganization which is similar to playoffs in a fantasy league incorporating a postsesson based on regular season rank).

A casual observer can see that the cited section of <u>Junkin</u> fails to suggest the duelbased system of Applicant's claimed invention. As a result, the Examiner has failed to meet his burden of making out a prima facie case of anticipation.

By combining the elements of various well-known decisions, one can see that a prima facie case of anticipation is established only when the Examiner provides:

- 1. a single reference¹
- 2. that teaches or enables²
- 3. each of the claimed elements (arranged as in the claim)³
- 4. expressly or inherently⁴
- 5. as interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art.⁵

If the Examiner fails to produce a prima facie case of unpatentability, "then without more the applicant is entitled to the grant of the patent."

Here, the single cited reference fails to teach or enable each of the claimed elements in any way to anyone, and therefore the prima facie case of anticipation fails, and this ground for rejection must be removed.

Furthermore, the undersigned notes that the Examiner has cited, yet not relied upon two other references, namely <u>Soltesz</u> (reference A) and <u>Yahoo</u> (reference U). Both of these

¹ W.L. Gore & Assocs. v. Garlock, 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984).

² Akzo N.V. v. U.S. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 808 F.2d 1471, 1 USPQ 2d 1241, 1245 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (citing In re Brown, 329 F.2d 1006, 1011, 141 USPQ 245, 249 (CCPA 1964).

³ Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co., 221 USPQ at 485.

⁴ Continental Can Co. USA v. Monsanto Co., 20 USPQ 2d at 1749-50.
⁵ Scripps Clinic & Research Found v. Genentech Inc., 927 F.2d 1565, 18 USPQ 2d 1001, 1010 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

⁶ In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ 2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

references fail to disclose or hint at Applicant's claimed duel-based scoring system and method. Furthermore, absent further evidence from the Examiner, Applicant challenges that the <u>Yahoo</u> reference is even a prior art reference, in that its effective date is 2/23/2006 (the date that is was printed by the Examiner), since there is no proof as to the disclosure's content as of the effective date of Applicant's application (beyond a self-serving copyright notice of 2001). Should the Examiner continue to cite this reference, Applicant respectfully requests that he provide evidence regarding the date of effectiveness of the <u>Yahoo</u> reference if an when it is relied upon for future claim rejections.

Conclusion

6196922003

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the application be reconsidered, the claims be allowed, and the case passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

STEINS & ASSOCIATES

Karl M. Steins

Registration No. 40,186 2333 Camino del Rio South

Suite 120

San Diego, California 92108

Telephone: (619) 692-2004 Facsimile: (619) 692-2003

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record.

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.